

## **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

International application No.   International filting date (day/month/year)   (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)   31 October 2003 (30.10.2003)   31 October 2002 (31.10.2002)   Applicant TSUCHIYA TSCO CO., LTD.  This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.  This international search report consists of a total of sheets.   It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior and document cited in this report.  1. Basis of the Report	Applicant's or agent's file reference P3S2003150				cation of Transmittal of International Search Report T/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5					
TSUCHIYA TSCO CO., LTD.  This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.  This international search report consists of a total of sheets.  It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.  1. Basis of the Report  a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).  b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:  contained in the international application in written form.  filled together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.  the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box 1).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box 11).  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract,  the text has been established, according to Rule 38 2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figur										
according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.  This international search report consists of a total of										
It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.  1. Basis of the Report  a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).  With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:  contained in the international application in written form.  filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract,  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1  as suggested by the applicant.  because the applicant field to suggest a figure.										
a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).  b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:  contained in the international application in written form.  filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.  the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract,  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1  as suggested by the applicant.  because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	This interna	7	\	ent cited i	in this report.					
Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).  b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:  contained in the international application in written form.  filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.  the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:   With regard to the abstract,  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1  as suggested by the applicant.  because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the									
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.  the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures  because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.		Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international								
furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.  the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  With regard to the abstract, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.			••							
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.  the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1  as suggested by the applicant.  because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	lable form.						
the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.  the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  With regard to the abstract,  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures  because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.			·							
international application as filed has been furnished.  the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures  because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.										
furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures  because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.			• •	sting does	not go beyond the disclosure in the					
3. Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).  4. With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures  because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.		the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been								
4. With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  5. With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures  because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	2.	Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).								
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:   With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures  because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.		•	ng (See Box II).							
the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:    With regard to the abstract,   the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.   the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.    The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1   as suggested by the applicant.   None of the figures   because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	1. WILL I		nitted by the applicant		•					
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures  because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.				:						
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures  because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	S. With regard to the abstract									
the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures  because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.		•	nitted by the applicant.							
as suggested by the applicant.  Decause the applicant failed to suggest a figure.  None of the figures		the text has been established	d, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this	_						
because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	6. The fig	6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1								
		as suggested by the applica	nt.		None of the figures					
because this figure better characterizes the invention.		because the applicant failed	to suggest a figure.							
		because this figure better ch	naracterizes the invention.							

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (July-1998)



International application No.

PCT/US03/34393

This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:    Claim Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:	Box 1 Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of Item 1 of first sheet)				
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  2. Claim Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:  3. Claim Nos.: 4-17 because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest   The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:				
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:  1. Claim Nos.: 4-17 because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.					
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1.	because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such				
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1.					
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)				
searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:				
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest	searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report				
	restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.				

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet(1)) (July 1998)



International application No.

PCT/US03/34393

Box III TEXT OF	THE ABSTRACT	(Continuation of Item	5 of the first sheet)
-----------------	--------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

The technical features mentioned in the abstract do not include a reference sign between parentheses (PCT Rule 8.1(d)).

## **NEW ABSTRACT**

A cushioning member is located between each support frame and the corresponding side of the shutter. The cushioning member 20 includes a pair of belt-shaped base members 21 and pile yarns 22 bridging between the base members 21. Some of the pile yarns 22 are cut at an intermediate portion 23 between the base members 21, which forms opposing first and second cut pile yarns with a cut in between. One of the base members 20 is attached to each support frame and the other base member 20 contacts the shutter. The cut 23 permits the cushioning member to flexibly respond to movements of the shutter and satisfactorily exerts a cushioning function to suppress swaying of the shutter.

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet(2)) (July 1998)

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US03/34393

A. CLAS							
US CL : 267/148							
	According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC						
B. FIEL	DS SEARCHED						
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 267/148, 134, 136; 49/91.1, 475.1, 489.1, 490.1; 428/85, 92, 93, 94							
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched							
Electronic da	ta base consulted during the international search (name	of data base and, where practicable, search	ı terms used)				
C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT						
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where ap	ppropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.				
Х	US 5338382 (Johnson et al) 16 August 1994 (16.08.1	994), entire document.	1-3, 19-21				
<del></del> A			18				
x	US 4148953 (Horton) 10 April 1979 (10.04.1979), er	itire document	1-3, 19-21				
	00 1110/05 (101011) 10 14/11 15/7 (10.04.15/15), 11	. 5, . 5					
Α			18				
х	US 2994929 (Kessler) 8 August 1961 (08.08.1961), entire document.		1-3				
 A			18				
Further	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.					
• \$	pecial categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the inter					
	defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of relevance	date and not in conflict with the application principle or theory underlying the inventional and the state of	ntion				
"E" earlier ap	plication or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be considered when the document is taken alone					
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)		"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination					
"O" documen	referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	being abvious to a person skilled in the	eart				
	published prior to the international filing date but later than the ate claimed	"&" document member of the same patent family					
	ctual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international searc	h report				
	2005 (04.02.2005)	Authorized officer					
Ma Cor P.O Alc	ailing address of the ISA/US il Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US nmissioner for Patents b Box 1450 xandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Charles Marmor Charles Marmor Telephone No. (703) 308-1113					
Facsimile No	. (703) 305-3230		·				

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1998)